UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/052,419	01/18/2002	Tara Kimbrell Cole	GTF-1	5334
20311 LUCAS & MEI	7590 04/02/200 RCANTI. LLP	EXAMINER		
475 PARK AV	*	WEIS, SAMUEL		
15TH FLOOR NEW YORK, N	NY 10016		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			3693	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/02/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/052,419	COLE, TARA KIMBRELL	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	SAMUEL S. WEIS	3693	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 1 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, p		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	awn from consideration. or election requirement.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ccepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sometion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applic ority documents have been rece au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/052,419 Page 2

Art Unit: 3693

DETAILED ACTION

1. This is in response to the Applicant's amendment of December 31, 2007. No claims have been amended. The Examiner hereby withdraws the rejection of November 11, 2007. Claims 1-9 have been examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tozzoli et al., U.S. Pat. No. 5,717,989 (hereinafter, Tozzoli), in view of Cornelius et al., U.S. Pat. No. 6,629,081 (hereinafter, Cornelius), in view of Forfaiting: What Finance and Accounting Managers Should Know, by Kendall P. Hill and Murat N. Tanju (hereinafter, Hill).

As to claim 1, Tozzoli discloses a method of operating a computerized exchange (abstract), comprising:

providing a counter party selection document on request to a seller or buyer to view, where the document contains a list of classes of counter parties together with counter party selection entry facilities (col. 6, line 45 – col. 8, line 67 and Figs. 1-5); permitting a seller to identify classes of buyers with whom they will, or will not, develop a transaction, by entering selections on the counter party selection document using the counter party selection entry facilities (col. 6, line 45 – col. 8, line 67 and Figs. 1-5);

providing an offer document on request to a seller to view, where the offer document contains the following items: a list of classes of asset together with asset selection entry facilities and asset information entry facilities for entry of details of the asset (col. 6, line 45 – col. 8, line 67 and Figs. 1-5);

a list of terms for the offer together with offer selection entry facilities and information entry facilities for entry of details of the offer (col. 6, line 45 – col. 8, line 67 and Figs. 1-5);

a list of offer documents to accompany the elect together with offer document selection entry facilities and offer document attachment facilities for attaching copies of offer documents (col. 6, line 45 – col. 8, line 67 and Figs. 1-5);

a list of undertakings for the seller to make together with undertaking selection entry facilities and undertaking document attachment facilities for attaching copies of undertaking documents (col. 6, line 45 – col. 8, line 67 and Figs. 1-5);

permitting the seller to create an instance of the offer document (col. 6, line 45 – col. 8, line 67 and Figs. 1-5);

identifying the asset to be offered by using the asset selection entry facilities and asset information entry facilities (col. 6, line 45 - col. 8, line 67 and Figs. 1-5); identifying the terms for the offer by using the offer selection entry facilities and offer information entry facilities (col. 6, line 45 - col. 8, line 67 and Figs. 1-5); identifying the offer documents to accompany the offer by using the offer document selection entry, facilities and offer document attachment facilities (col. 6, line 45 - col. 8, line 67 and Figs. 1-5);

identifying the undertakings to be made by using the undertaking selection entry facilities and undertaking document attachment facilities (col. 6, line 45 – col. 8, line 67 and Figs. 1-5);

permitting the seller to submit an instance of the offer document, so that it becomes available to view by potential buyer from only the classes of buyers identified as being those whom the seller will develop the transaction (col. 6, line 45 – col. 8, line 67 and Figs. 1-5);

providing a counter offer document on request to a buyer after they have viewed an offer document, where the counter offer document contains counter offer selection and counter offer information entry facilities for entry of variations to an offer document instance (col. 6, line 45 – col. 8, line 67 and Figs. 1-5);

permitting the buyer to create an instance of the counter offer document by entering selections and information using the counter offer selection and information entry facilities, and to submit the counter offer instance, so that it becomes available to the seller (col. 6, line 45 – col. 8, line 67 and Figs. 1-5);

providing a counter offer document on request to any party after they have viewed an instance of a counter offer document, where the counter offer document contains counter offer selection and information entry facilities for entry of variations (col. 6, line 45 – col. 8, line 67 and Figs. 1-5);

permitting the party to create an instance of the counter offer document by entering selections and information using the counter offer selection and information entry facilities, and to submit the counter offer instance, so that it becomes available to the counter party (col. 6, line 45 – col. 8, line 67 and Figs. 1-5).

Tozzoli does not explicitly disclose providing a web site accessible via the internet to sellers and buyers using computers and forfaiting. Tozzoli does disclose a trade system that receives inputs from buyers and sellers and third parties (financial institutions, insurers, carriers) utilizing a central computing facility, telecommunication circuits, and third party networks (See col. line 4, line 50 - col. 5, line 10)

However, Cornelius teaches a system, method, and article of manufacture for account settlement and financing in an e-commerce environment. Cornelius' system includes VT Enterprise which provides a one-stop trade finance service via the Internet (col. 8, lines 14-19 and Figs. 1-15). VT Enterprise is a web based computerized exchange that allows buyers and sellers to easily transact their goods electronically over the Internet. Though Cornelius does not explicitly describe the forfaiting process, Cornelius details the advantages of his system for importers and exporters in columns 8-12. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the Applicant's invention combine Cornelius within Tozzoli for the motivation of buying and selling goods and services over the internet to increase efficiency.

However, Hill describes that forfaiting has been around Europe since 1945, and began being popular with importers and exporters in the United States in the early 1990s (p. 53). Hill details the basics of the forfaiting, how it works, advantages of forfaiting, and accounting implications. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the Applicant's invention to utilize the combined invention of Tozzoli and Cornelius for forfaiting transactions to take advantage of economic opportunities.

As to claims 2-7, the Examiner takes Official Notice that the following limitations are old and well known in the trading arts:

further step of repeating the last two steps, where the document available at the web site contain electronic links to independent sources of financial information, where the document available at the web site contains electronic links to standardized forms of documents, such as asset definition documents used to support instances of the offer document, where the document available at the website contains electronic links to standardized forms of undertaking documents, further step of the exchange providing the on-line assistance in completing the process, and further step of the exchange providing an asset screening document for use by potential buyers to select the types of asset they wish to view, and not view. Financial websites normally have links with information, standard forms, directions, as well as provide on-line help to customers.

As to claim 8, Tozzoli discloses a computerized exchange (abstract) comprising: a counter party selection document, where the document contains a list of classes of counter parties together with counter party selection entry facilities (col. line 4, line 50 - col. 5, line 10, col. 6, line 45 – col. 8, line 67 and Figs. 1-5); an offer document, where the offer document contains the following items (col. line 4, line 50 - col. 5, line 10, col. 6, line 45 – col. 8, line 67 and Figs. 1-5): a list of classes of asset together with asset entry facilities and asset information entry facilities for entry of details of the asset (col. line 4, line 50 - col. 5, line 10, col. 6, line 45 – col. 8, line 67 and Figs. 1-5); a list of terms for the offer together with offer selection entry facilities and offer

a list of terms for the offer together with offer selection entry facilities and offer information entry facilities for entry of details of the offer, a list of offer documents to accompany the offer together with offer document selection entry facilities and other

document attachment facilities for attaching copies of offer document (col. line 4, line 50 - col. 5, line 10, col. 6, line 45 - col. 8, line 67 and Figs. 1-5);

a list of undertakings for the seller to make together with undertaking selection entry facilities and undertaking document attachment facilities for attaching copies of undertaking documents (col. line 4, line 50 - col. 5, line 10, col. 6, line 45 – col. 8, line 67 and Figs. 1-5);

a counter offer document containing counter offer selection and information entry facilities (col. line 4, line 50 - col. 5, line 10, col. 6, line 45 – col. 8, line 67 and Figs. 1-5);

a processor operable on request to retrieve a document (col. line 4, line 50 - col. 5, line 10, col. 6, line 45 - col. 8, line 67 and Figs. 1-5);

the processor being further operable on request either to permit the entry of selections and information to create instances of documents on-line (col. line 4, line 50 - col. 5, line 10, col. 6, line 45 – col. 8, line 67 and Figs. 1-5);

the processor being further operable to permit viewing of instances of offer documents by potential buyers, depending upon the selections and entries made by the seller in counter party selection document (col. line 4, line 50 - col. 5, line 10, col. 6, line 45 – col. 8. line 67 and Figs. 1-5).

Tozzoli does not explicitly disclose providing a web site accessible via the internet to sellers and buyers using computers and forfaiting.

However, Cornelius teaches a system, method, and article of manufacture for account settlement and financing in an e-commerce environment. Cornelius' system includes VT Enterprise which provides a one-stop trade finance service via the Internet

(col. 8, lines 14-19 and Figs. 1-15). VT Enterprise is a web based computerized exchange that allows buyers and sellers to easily transact their goods electronically over the Internet. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the Applicant's invention combine Cornelius within Tozzoli for the motivation of buying and selling goods and services over the internet to increase efficiency.

Page 8

However, Hill describes that forfaiting has been around Europe since 1945, and began being popular with importers and exporters in the United States in the early 1990s (p. 53). Hill details the basics of the forfaiting, how it works, advantages of forfaiting, and accounting implications. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the Applicant's invention to utilize the combined invention of Tozzoli and Cornelius for forfaiting transactions to take advantage of economic opportunities.

As to claim 9, the Examiner takes Official Notice that a printing facility is old and well known in the trading arts.

Examiner's Note: The Examiner has pointed out particular references contained in the prior art of record within the body of this action for the convenience of the Applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply. Applicant, in preparing the response, should consider fully the entire reference as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the Examiner.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel S. Weis whose telephone number is (571) 272-1882. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 to 5, Monday - Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/052,419 Page 9

Art Unit: 3693

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Kramer can be reached on (571) 272-6783. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Stefanos Karmis/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3693